(2—199.)

Record, 500. 1890. Intf. Page, 1901

Interference.

No. 14,842

13"

Louis S. Clarke
Wm 36,383.

=vs=

Ellsworth E. Flora
Serial 330,575.

=vs=

Ezra T. Gilliland =and=
Frank W. Toppan

=vs=

Louis Glass =and=
Wm. S. Arnold

Coin Operated Phonograph

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

Nov. 21-'90

1890.

ATTORNEYS.

Louis T. Clark
℅ Jas. I. Kay,
Pittsburg, Pa.

Ellwood E. Flora,
℅ Dyrenforth & Dyrenforth,
City.

Gilliland & Topkin,
℅ Alfred W. Tiddle,
38 Park Row,
N. Y. City.

Glass and Arnold,
℅ Browne & Acker,
137 Montgomery St.,
San Francisco, Cal.

Geo. L. Morsell,
City.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

(2–070.)

INDEX.

INTERFERENCE.

No. 14,842.

Clarke v. Flora v. Gilliland and Toppan

v.

Glass and Arnold.

SUBJECT-MATTER:

Coin Operated Phonograph.

12710—3 m

Raymond R. Wile Research Library

Nov. 21-'90. Declaration. Prelim. hearing Jan. 6-'91.
Dec 3 " Request of the Primary Ex'r for Suspension under rule 129.
" 4 " Interference suspended.
Jan 6th "

B

(2–080.)

Room No. ——

All communications should be addressed to "The Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D. C."

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,

United States Patent Office,

Washington, D. C., Nov. 14, 1890

EXAMINER OF INTERFERENCES.

An interference is found to exist between the following cases, and in respect to the invention therein specified, to wit:

CASES.

1. L. L. Clarke, of Pittsburg, Pa, for Automatic Phonograph Operating Apparatus, filed Aug. 7, 1890; Ser. No. 361,333 whose attorney is Jas. D. Kay, of Pittsburg, Pa

2. E. E. Flora, of Chicago, Ill, for Coin Operated Phonographs, filed May 5, 1890; Ser. No. 350,575, whose attorney is Dyrenforth & Dyrenforth of City.

3. E. T. Gilliland and F. W. Lofgran, of N. Y. City, for Attachments for Automatically Operating Phonographs, filed Mar. 6, 1890; Ser. No. 342,875, whose attorney is A. W. Kiddle, of N. Y. City.

INVENTION.

4. L. Glass and W. S. Arnold, of San Francisco, Cal, for Numismolor Phonographs, filed Feb. 15, 1890, 5,210, 340,627, whose attorneys are Boone & Acker, of San Francisco, Cal, with L. Morsell, of Washington, D.C. as associate.

17616 b—3 m

Raymond R. Wile Research Library

On a phonograph, the combination of the reproducer frame, the lifting frame adapted to engage therewith, and raise the same from the recording cylinder, the magnet, and connection therefrom to the lifting frame.

The foregoing issue covers substantially claim 5 of Clarke's application, claims 7 and 8 of Flora's application, claims 1 to 12, inclusive, of Gilliland & Tappan's application, and claim 1 of Glass & Arnold's application.

W. L. Hughbaugh,
Examiner

Simpson
1st Asst Exr.

Intf. No. 14,842 Paper No. 1

Clarke vs. Flora et al.

Declaration of interference of Nov. 21, 1894
Time for filing statement set for Jan. 5, 1895

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

Appl. No. 14,842 Paper No. 2.

Clarke vs Flora
vs Gillard and
Toppan vs
Glass and Arnold.

Case B.

Request of the
Primary Examiner
for Suspension
under rule 129.

3

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

All communications should be addressed to
"The Commissioner of Patents,
Washington, D. C."

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,

United States Patent Office,

Washington, D. C., Dec, 2, 1890.

To the Examiner of Interferences:

It is requested that the interference of Clarke v. Flora v. Gilliland and Topsan v. Glass and Arnold, (Interference "B,") declared Nov. 14, 1890, be suspended for the purpose of adding a new party, in accordance with the provisions of Rule 129.

W. L. Aylesworth,
Examiner

Simpson
1st Asst Exr.

Intf. No. 14,842 Paper No. 3.

Clarke v. Floor

v.

Gilliland & Tynan

v.

Glass & Arnold

Intf. suspended.

Dec. 4-90

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

G. M.

2—087.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR.

United States Patent Office,

U.S. PATENT OFFICE
DEC 4 1890

Washington, D. C., Dec. 4th, *1890.*

IN RE INTERFERENCE

Clarke v. Flora

v.

Gilliland & Toppan v. Glass & Arnold.

Coin Operated Phonograph.

No. 14,842. B.

Before the Examiner of Interferences.

L. S. Clarke, Care Jas. I. Kay, Pittsburgh, Pa.

E. E. Flora, Care Dyrenforth & Dyrenforth, City.

Gilliland & Toppan, Care Alfred W. Kiddle, 38 Park Row, N. Y. City.

Glass & Arnold, Care L. Morsell, City.

A suspension of this interference has been requested by the primary examiner for the purpose of adding a new party under Rule 129. It being apparent from the record that no testimony has been taken, it is ordered that the interference be suspended and the files transmitted to the primary examiner for his action under said Rule.

Wm. Coleman

Examiner of Interferences.

1084 b—5 m

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library